THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

-

WRIT PETITION No.28054 of 2005

-

Dated:05.01.2006

Between:

Vangati Ram Reddy, S/o.Rami Reddy,
R/o.Godhamkunta Village, Keesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, and another.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

The Mandal Revenue Officer, Keesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, and others.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.28054 of 2005

ORDER:

The first petitioner claims to be the owner of land admeasuring Acs.4.00 in Survey Nos.57/C, 57/A, 59, 60/A and 64/A situated at Kundanpally Village, Keesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, and the second petitioner claims to be the owner of land admeasuring Acs.4.00 in Survey Nos.59/C, 58/A, 59, 60/A and 64/A situated in the same Village. They claim that they purchased the said properties from late Vangati Yellaiah under registered sale deeds dated 16.04.1981, and at their request their names were entered in the Pahanies. However, in connection with the suit, being O.S.No.224 of 2000 on the file of the Court of the I Additional District Judge, Ranga Reddy, filed by respondent Nos.3 to 6, they came to know that the names of the petitioners were deleted by the Mandal Revenue Officer. They obtained certified copies of the Pahanies from the year 1992-93 to till date. Therefore, the petitioners filed the present writ petition seeking a declaration that the action of the respondents in deleting their names from the pahanies as illegal and arbitrary, and for a consequential direction to the respondents to issue certified copies of the proceedings pursuant to which, their names were deleted.

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (General). With the assistance of the learned Counsel for the petitioners, this Court has perused the Xerox copies of the Pahanies for the years

1992-93 and 2002-03. The same would show that the Mandal Revenue Officer ordered deletion of the names of the petitioners purportedly acting on the direction of the Revenue Divisional Officer vide letter No.A2/1517/00, dated 25.06.2005. However, the petitioners did not specifically challenge the proceedings of the Mandal Revenue Officer, being No.B/1051/2004, dated 18.07.2005. Therefore, liberty is given to the petitioners to approach the Mandal Revenue Officer, the first respondent herein, for obtaining a copy of the proceedings, and challenge the same before the Revenue Divisional Officer by filing appropriate appeal. As and when the petitioners approach the first respondent, he shall issue a copy of the proceedings under which or by reason of which, the entries in the Pahanies made in favour of the petitioners were deleted.

The Writ Petition, with the above observations, is disposed of. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

05.01.2006

vs